

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_85881
Title of the Manuscript:	Attitude and adherence to COVID-19 Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) among Malaysians
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Do you think 206 people as a sample size is enough to draw a conclusion? In my opinion, this is very much below a representative numberI think that age alone as a criterion for selecting respondents is insufficient.The reliability of the result must take into account other criteria such as the socio-economic level or the educational levelA person's medical history greatly influences their decision. Criterion not considered in this studyThe way of life of the elements of the sample (living in urban or rural areas) also influences the decision to conform to the standards or not.Perhaps it would be better to insist on long-term collective health education alongside police repression as you suggest.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The current study was done as undergraduate student project within limited time frame. It was done as convenience sampling and 206 was the maximum recruited sample within one month of their posting.As a weakness of the study, researchers failed to get socio-demographic data other than age,gender,marital status,employment and residence.Majority of respondents were students and involvement of respondents aged 25 or older were less in this study. Underlying medical history was failed to considered in this study.Majority of our respondents were from urban area who can access and competent enough to answer google form.The comments on collective health education incorporated in the Manuscript.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	