

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_85763
Title of the Manuscript:	Contraceptive usage and associated factors among adolescents in the Kwahu South District of the Eastern Region of Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Please rephrase your topic, Like: Contraceptive usage Status and its Associated Factors among Adolescents in Kwahu, Eastern Ghana2. Please add more Keywords.3. How many schools are there and4. Why did you select only four schools, what is the reason behind?5. Please interpret correctly the result obtained from the binary logistic regression model by comparing it with the reference category.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Thank you for the suggestion to revise the title. Although we have revise, we have not used the exact suggestion as presented by the reviewer.2. More keywords have been added.3. All four schools in the district were used in the study to provide a fair representative of the study participants in this study.4. All significant binary regression variables have been interpreted in relation to the reference category. Thank you for your valuable contribution to this paper.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. On line 216, Please make clear.2. Under Table 1, 0.35, How important to report this?3. Please edit the whole document accordingly.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Line 216 has been rephrased to make it clearer.2. Although, only one person was a traditionalist. It is right to show it. Failure to do would mean that our results would be compromised.3. Suggested areas have been revised and edited. Also, a colleague was contacted to provide some critical review of the entire paper. Thank you very much for your valuable review comments.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	