

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_85491
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge, Acceptance and Perception on COVID-19 Vaccine Among Sudanese People in Khartoum State, 2020- 2021
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments:	<p><b>Abstract section:</b> The need for and the aim of the study should stated in the first two sentences of the abstract. The study design must be captured as part of the methods of the study. The coloured sentences should be deleted and not relevant. The author should just state findings without repeating the questions used as seen in the last paragraph of the abstract (e.g. When the participants were asked if they were satisfied with the health authorities' roles---). Significant</p> <p>The results stated are very scanty and did not show the variables in relation to the title of the work. Significant findings should be stated in the results section</p> <p><b>Materials and Methods:</b> This section is not just description of the study area. The author should State the type of study (methodology), the study design and sampling method applied in selection of the participants. The author should stated whether ethical permission was secured and the authorizing body</p> <p><b>Results and Discussion:</b> Reference the materials used in your discussion as in-text citation. Only about three Journal publications were used in the discussion of the results.</p> <p>NOTE: To effect others corrections in the main text returned</p>	All correction done
Minor REVISION comments:	<p>1) <b>The abstract</b> would been better structured as Background; Aim/objectives; Methods; Results; Conclusion; Recommendation; Key words.</p> <p><b>Results and Discussion:</b> Only about three Journal publications were used in the discussion of the results.</p> <p>Quality</p>	All correct done

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments		
---------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>Although no ethical issues found, the author should state whether institutional review board granted ethical permission to carry out the study</p>	No ethical issues