

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_85342
Title of the Manuscript:	The objective and subjective outcome of bullectomy in patients of bullous lung disease: A prospective study.
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In the 6th line within Material and Methods in Abstract there is a typo. Change for dyspnea2. The role of other less invasive options of treatment in bullous lung disease is not mentioned in the manuscript. Specifically endobronchial valves for lung volume reduction. Add a paragraph discussing this topic and explain the reason/s why the authors are not using this device.3. In the 2nd line within Material and Methods change for: in Srinagar, India,...4. In Material and Methods: Mention first the inclusion and exclusion criteria and then the total of cases was encountered, and the number of patients were included and excluded.5. In conclusion change the font type and size, no italic and all capital letters: CONCLUSION	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Typo corrected.2. Thank you sir for bringing in the topic of endobronchial valves for lung volume reduction. I have now added a paragraph discussing it. But since it was not part of our study, and we specifically aimed at evaluation of results of bullectomy procedure only, so we have not included this as part of our study.3. Changed for 'in Srinagar india' in 2nd line within material and methods4. Necessary rearrangement made as asked for, in Material and methods.5. Font and size changed; (I copied yours sir)
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	