

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_85342
Title of the Manuscript:	The objective and subjective outcome of bullectomy in patients of bullous lung disease: A prospective study.
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Name of tables is above not below the tables.dsypnea score: what is it. (You need to mention it)PFTs must mention the meaning in the first time it appears in abstract.Orders of references not accurate ref 9 comes after 6 in Discussion: A previous study reported from our region has documented same incidence as ours, and the author attributes it to high prevalence of COPD and tuberculosis in the region.⁽⁹⁾ It will need to be re-order as 7 instead 9 & changes in references at the end .In discussion: The mortality rate reported by Gunstensen et al was 9.5%. This rate was reported by Fitzgerald as 2.1% (where is the references) (I added her you can used it)<ul style="list-style-type: none">FitzGerald MX, Keelan PJ, Cugell DW, Gaensler EA. Long-term results of surgery for bullous emphysema. <i>J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.</i> 1974 Oct;68(4):566–587. [PubMed]Gunstensen J, McCormack RJ. The surgical management of bullous emphysema. <i>J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.</i> 1973 Jun;65(6):920–925. [PubMed]	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Name of tables was changed to above the table in Table 1 and 2Dyspnea score: the description has been added, at relevant place in material and methods.PFT explained in its first mention in abstract.Order of references restored, as new references were added and re-ordered.Thanks for citing the references in discussion part, I have added them in it.
Minor REVISION comments	Spelling & Grammar mistakes is in original articles (I added already)	All the corrections made. Except in abstract, I have rephrased in conclusion part like "Most of the patients who got benefitted are those having localized" in place of "most benefitted"
Optional/General comments	Accepted with minor corrections.	Thanks Sir

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	