

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_85264
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of the Challenges to Healthcare Access among the Economically Disadvantaged Population during the Movement Control Order for Covid-19 Pandemic in Selangor - Malaysia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript is well-written, the methodology is clear and results are well presented. I would recommend publication of this manuscript after considering the below minor revisions.	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract: - please remove the words “the percentage” from “In contrast, only 13.9% the percentage of participants utilized inpatient services...”.</p> <p>In keywords: - I recommend adding the keyword “disadvantaged population”.</p> <p>In introduction: - Please change “Ministry Of Health Malaysia” to “Ministry of Health Malaysia”. - Please state what “MYR” stands for as a currency abbreviation? - Please pay attention for some verbs tense.</p> <p>In methodology: - How long did the data collection take for this cross-sectional study? - Some verbs tenses are in future but it should be in the past tense.</p> <p>In results: - In the first paragraph, please change “56.2% of participants were employed with 25.5% being...” to “56.2% of participants were employed while 25.5% being...” - It would be better if authors explain the difference among B1, B2, B3 and B4 subgroups in paragraph one. - Please change “Table 4 are showing the utilization of outpatient and inpatient healthcare” to “Table 4 is showing the utilization of outpatient and inpatient healthcare”. - Please change “while 58(29.9%) sought services from a private healthcare sector” to “while 58(29.9%) sought services from a private healthcare sector”. - I think there is no Table 7 in results, it should be table 4.</p> <p>Discussion: - please give citation to this study “A similar study done among B-40 income groups in Klang Valley, Malaysia...” - Please change “sanitisers” to “sanitizers”.</p>	<p>In the abstract: - Done and marked yellow inside the text</p> <p>In keywords: - Done and marked yellow inside the text</p> <p>In introduction: - Done and marked yellow inside the text - Done and marked yellow inside the text - Done and marked yellow inside the text</p> <p>In methodology: - Done and marked yellow inside the text. It is mentioned in the text data collection done during sept 21 - Done and marked yellow inside the text</p> <p>In results: - Done and marked yellow inside the text - Done and marked yellow inside the text - Done and marked yellow inside the text - Done and marked yellow inside the text</p> <p>Discussion: - Done and marked yellow inside the text - Done and marked yellow inside the text</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	