

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_84840
Title of the Manuscript:	Reference values of transverse foramina: A quantitative Cadaveric Study of the cervical segment of the Nigerian Spine.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Mino revioson This study was a cross sectional survey in which cadavers obtained from the Mortuary unit, Department of anatomy, University of Port Harcourt were used</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Does it mean that skeletons participated in a survey or perhaps cadaveric specimen was dissected and parts of the vertebral column had been evaluated?- Its very good that ethical approval was granted. The paper is missing any information if the anatomy head even granted the approval for such a study?- Was there any correlation between gender, and specimen estimated age taken onto consideration?????- Are there any key anatomical landmarks and dimensions which are also quite important?	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The cadavers were obtained and dissected- The head of the department of anatomy gave consent to the study before it was carried out as it was done in the dissecting laboratory of the University of Port Harcourt- They were no correlation between gender and the age range of the cadavers used have been added to the body of the work
Optional/General comments	<p>Interesting, but provides basic information Wouldn't it be better to see if age/gender or skeletal lordosis/kyphosis somehow influence on those foramina or not? What was done with the cadaveric remnants after dissection?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The cadaveric remnants (bones) are being stored in the departmental museum of the University.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	