

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_83666
Title of the Manuscript:	Updates in diagnosis and management of Melasma
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Fair review, but it could be easuly improved	Improved
Minor REVISION comments	English should be edited and some errors should be corrected and some sentences are confused and difficult to understand. The education by Jang et al43 also found..... Paper is just descriptive. For instance, it is stated that endothelin 1 or VEGF is related to hyperpigmentation, but there is no experimental references to justify that. Scientific literature is full of studies account for that issues, Some of them could be included. Clarify the nature of pigmentophages. Are they melanophages ? What is cosmesis?	Noted
Optional/General comments	Introduction is correct, but somehow reiterative. There are several paragraphs initiated by a definition of melasma. All of them are similar, but different. The reading is repetitive. Examples Melasma is a common, chronic, and recurrent disorder.... Next paragraph.. Melasma is a reformist, macular, nonscaling hypermelanosis....next paragraph Melasma is a chronic acquired skin disease that..... next paragraph Melasma is a common pigmentary disorder that demonstrates... soon later Melasma is a pattern of acquired hyperpigmentation and..... This would be improved by some re-writing and possible reduction of this part of the manuscript. The link between melasma and pregnancy and oral contraceptives is also written in a reiterative manner. On the other hand, the part related to differential diagnoses is very brief (just one list of medical terms without any comment. The review is unbalanced	Done

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	