

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_77321
Title of the Manuscript:	Antibiotic susceptibility pattern of group A beta-haemolytic streptococcal throat isolates of primary school children in Rivers State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments The antibiotics names and doses have been included in the Methodology section of the article.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Antibiotics names and dose of each one- Mention the probability ratio when detailing the results	Done
Minor REVISION comments. The parameters have been separated in tables.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Separate the parameters in tabels	Done
Optional/General comments The authors think the title of the article does not need to be modified. The suggested title suggests an in-vivo testing which is not what was done in the research. The testing was in-vitro in this research. The present title therefore describes the research better. The only modification is the corrected spelling of streptococcal.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Modify the title and match the data in the tables with the explanation	Modified

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>