

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_72436
Title of the Manuscript:	Breast Cancer In Thyroid Cancer Patients, can one lead to another?
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)																							
Compulsory REVISION comments																									
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Dear Authors,</p> <p>I appreciate you for your work. There are some comments to improve the manuscript.</p> <p>Best,</p> <p>1- Study design: Retrospective cohort study 2- I suggest the following result for this study:</p> <table><tr><td></td><td></td><td colspan="2">secondary cancer</td><td></td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>yes</td><td>no</td><td>total</td></tr><tr><td rowspan="3">primary cancer</td><td>T1</td><td>8</td><td>354</td><td>362</td></tr><tr><td>B1</td><td>4</td><td>1693</td><td>1697</td></tr><tr><td>total</td><td>12</td><td>2047</td><td>2059</td></tr></table> <p>Incidence rate of developing secondary cancer in T1 = 8/362 = 0.022099448 Incidence rate of developing secondary cancer in B1 = 4/1697 = 0.002357101 Relative risk = 022099448/002357101 = 9.375691 In this case, you carry out a retrospective cohort study, you can put the question: "What is the ratio of the risk of developing secondary cancer in T1 patients to the risk of developing secondary cancer in B1 patients?" This ratio is called the relative risk. <math display="block">Relative\ risk = \frac{Risk\ of\ developing\ secondary\ cancer\ in\ T1}{Risk\ of\ developing\ secondary\ cancer\ in\ B1}</math> Thus, T1 patients are 9.4 times more likely to develop secondary cancer than B1.</p> <p>3- There are some spelling and grammatical errors in the text. Please correct them</p> <p>4- The journals names should be written in abbreviations. Some journals names are completely written (Ref. # 2, 6, 10 &amp;...). All references should be revised based on the Journal style.</p>			secondary cancer					yes	no	total	primary cancer	T1	8	354	362	B1	4	1693	1697	total	12	2047	2059	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Agreed. Amendment will be made</li><li>2. Thank you for the suggestion. I will include the result into the manuscript.</li><li>3. Corrections will be made.</li><li>4. All journal names will be written in abbreviations (however, Cancer Causes &amp; Control is abbreviated as Cancer Causes Control). All references will be changed to Vancouver style as per editorial office guideline.</li></ol>
		secondary cancer																							
		yes	no	total																					
primary cancer	T1	8	354	362																					
	B1	4	1693	1697																					
	total	12	2047	2059																					
Optional/General comments																									

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There is no ethical issue to be declared in this manuscript. Amendment has been made in the revised paper