



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_68643
Title of the Manuscript:	RED CELL DISTRIBUTION WIDTH IN CORRELATION WITH SEQUENTIAL ORGAN FAILURE ASSESSMENT SCORE AS A PROGNOSTIC MARKER OF SEPSIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction - the write up is little, Need to more description deeply and cite by more modern studies.</p> <p>Material and Method - this section too lengthy, Need to divide into few paragraphs as well as to statistical methods. For example: Study population, Data collection, Laboratory measurements and include flow chart of patients selection process in the study.</p> <p>Discussion - there is no critical discussion in all sides, is need to more description with related close studies and modern reference.</p> <p>There is grammatical errors in some sentences expression, need to corrected and re-structure</p>	<p>OK</p> <p>Done revision</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Done</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Results –</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This section is better include some descriptions on demographics details with Medical details (co- morbidities), Survivors and Non-Survivors. 2. (Table:1) and (Fig:1) have same purpose, should be choice one presentation 	<p>OK</p> <p>Noted</p>
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	