

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_79653
Title of the Manuscript:	A Phonological-Pragmatic Analysis of the Saudi Ministry of Health Official Spokesperson's speech on Covid-19
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>-The theme is very good, not many linguists do this kind of research, because of the limited equipment in the research, but unfortunately this paper is too technical, so it makes the reader uncomfortable reading it. The author's words are so lacking, that it looks like they're just combining quotes from references, without adding words that connect them.</p> <p>-Introduction is too short, because it does not include in detail, what is the urgency in choosing the intonation of the spokespersons from the ministry of health about covid-19 in Saudi Arabia</p>	The reviewer's comments were considered and modifications were done in the manuscript.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	