

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_79653
Title of the Manuscript:	A Phonological-Pragmatic Analysis of the Saudi Ministry of Health Official Spokesperson's speech on Covid-19
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The study is a qualitative one as it confines itself to the Saudi (MOH) spokesperson's speech on covid-19 pandemic.(A study is not qualitative because of its topic. It is qualitative or otherwise because of its data analysis method and design)</p> <p>speeh by the Saudi - speech praat - Praat</p> <p>The major issue with this article is its lack of expert involvement. The researcher cannot rely on his/ her own perception of tones alone. Some native speakers of the language must get involved to guarantee reliability.</p>	<p>The methodology was modified and the reviewer's comments were taken into consideration.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	