

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_85117
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimicrobial efficacy of four different extracts of <i>Plantago major</i> : An in vitro study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaji.com/index.php/AJI/editorial-policy>)

RT 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Opinion related to paper entitled „Antimicrobial efficacy of four different extracts of <i>Plantago major</i>: An in vitro study”.</p> <p>One of the most important problem today's medicine is the increase of resistance of bacteria to antibiotics. Therefore, the search for new substances and strategies to combat bacterial diseases is a very important task for researchers.</p> <p>The work submitted for a review meets these needs. However, manuscript has significant shortcomings which do not allow it to be recommended for publication. The most serious deficiency is the absence of phytochemical analysis, which make it impossible to relate the activity to compounds in the extracts. In addition, there are a number of typos and inaccuracies (from the text it seems that the Authors consider ethanol to be more polar than methanol, in my opinion the opposite is true).</p> <p>My recommendation is to reject and resubmit after corrections, especially after completing phytochemical analysis.</p> <p>Detailed remarks</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The full Latin name should be given the first time it appears.2. <i>Proteus vulgaris</i>, <i>vulgaris</i> with lowercase (see abstract).3. Latin names of species are always written in italics. <p>The errors indicated above appear repeatedly in the text, they should be corrected.</p>	<p>Dear Sir/Madam;</p> <p>We are thankful for your valuable contribution, as you known that with the phytochemical analysis that manuscript will be send to another journal with high impact factor. This study is a minor study that examine to effects of the total extracts of the plant. I am so sorry to tell “We are not agree with you about your sentences “its impossible to relate the activity to compounds in the extracts”. As shown in the results parts “<i>P.major</i> has activity but we don't clarify which one of the compound cause that action”</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	