

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Immunology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJI_85117
Title of the Manuscript:	Antimicrobial efficacy of four different extracts of Plantago major: An in vitro study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaji.com/index.php/AJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There are some issues pointed out in the reviewed manuscript. They should be check and address. 1. The author should explain the step by step approach used in the preparation of extracts concentration under a separate heading as explain I the reviewed manuscript. 2. The concentration of the extract was prepared in mg/mL but in some places the author uses ml/uL. 3. In the results section, the MIC of the fungi should be separated from the MIC of bacteria so as to have two tables in the manuscript.	Dear Sir/Madam; We are thankful for your valuable response. Reply to 1: We have enlarged the "Preparation of Plant Extract" part and add step by step all of them. In addition, we explain how to dilute the 32 to 0.156 mg/mL in the MIC part. Because the method based on serial dilutions thus in each step, its done by method. Reply to 2: Thanks for your attention. We corrected the wrong parts. Reply to 3. We separated the tables as you mention.
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript can be accepted after addressing the issues raised in the manuscript.	Thanks for your kind comments.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	