

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_85344
Title of the Manuscript:	The Population Density Map of the Greater Cairo Region Comparison of 2017 choropleth map and dasymetric map
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajgr.com/index.php/AJGR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Accuracy concern [1] Grid density classification is needed, [2] Pixel colour gradient measurement may lead the proximity colour, [3] Confusion matrix leads to optimise the misclassification	[1] Please, kindly provide me with more detailed clarification or kindly refer me to some useful sources. [2] Done in Figure no. (5) in Page no. (11) [3] Please, kindly provide me with more detailed clarification or kindly refer me to some useful sources.
Minor REVISION comments	Accuracy concern [4] Grid density classification is needed,; spatial density clustering using integrated discrete wavelet transformation method [5] Pixel colour gradient measurement may lead the proximity colour,; Pixel corner correction method [6] Confusion matrix leads to optimise the misclassification: F-measure for evaluating the performance of a classification model,	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	