

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_88011
Title of the Manuscript:	The Contribution of Aquaculture Sector in Regional Development of West Bandung District, West Java Province
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In the paragraph after the reference [4] the word "catfish" appears twice. Reference [5] is not found in the text. It would be advisable to have a "Literature Review" subtext, which would lead to a larger number of bibliographic references. It would have been good if the analyzed interval was longer, to include the data for at least 5 years.	Thank you for the comments review... I have revised the script in the following section: 1. The word "catfish" in that paragraph is now one. 2. I've replaced reference [5] in the script and the references section. 3. I have added a "Literatur Review" in the Introduction which contains: Conceptual framework, theoretical framework and empirical review. So as to produce several new bibliographic references. I apologize in advance, that this research can only use cross-section and time series data within 3 years (2018-2020). This happened due to the limited data available from the Department of Marine Affairs and Fisheries of West Java Province, Indonesia. Where in 2016 and 2017 data on the value of aquaculture production experienced problems so that we as researchers could not use that year to be analyzed. Then for 2021 and 2022 the data has not been released.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	