

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_87771
Title of the Manuscript:	Carp Fattening and Socio-economic Status of Farmers of Dinajpur District, Bangladesh: An Expedient Overview
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1.The research paper is just a survey. 2. Statistically analysis between different factors is lacking. 3. Carp fattening have not been analysed – whether it increased or decreased with different factors taken under investigation.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Yes it can be considered as a survey paper.2. It was done in a very simplistic way.3. The focus of the survey was to illuminate only the present situation of carp fattening. So the increase or decrease was not much emphasized.
Minor REVISION comments	NIL	
Optional/General comments	May be accepted as a survey article.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	There are no ethical issues.