

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_87564
Title of the Manuscript:	An Analysis of the Exchange Rate of Catfish Cultivators (A Case Study in Sindangwangi Majalengka Indonesia)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.jurnalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The title should be corrected in explanatory way to represent the contentThe abstract should be revised to include all contents of the manuscript, (missing parts are sample size, results, conclusion and recommendations in smooth and coherent sentences).Key words alphabetical orderThe justification given for the need of this study was loose. The author should include the information gap this study is going to fill, justify why catfish growers (cultivators) were selected.Sample size (number of respondents) looks small. The author has to explain how this sample sizes (10 + 10 respondents) were determined to represent the total catfish farmers in the study area.Position of table titles in some places were not correct (comments given in the manuscript)Respondents' names should not appear in this public manuscript.Cost and return analysis of the farms are also better analysed for farmers in different educational levels, for different farm land areas and any other groups to check whether these factors determine profitability the farms.The author should Include his/her recommendations.English grammar, word selection and sentence organization needs improvement.	Correction amended Done revision Done Done Done
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">References citation and listing has to be according the journal's guideline.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Name of respondents should not be published.	