

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_86105
Title of the Manuscript:	Perceptions of Sustainable Utilization of Coastal Resources in The Pangandaran Sub-District
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Somewhere in the «Methodology» section this text must be written: «For data analysis, SPSS (version ...) was used for descriptive statistics (frequencies and percentages) and for chi-square tests [5]. P values of less than 0.05 were regarded as statistically significant».</p> <p>The results must be produced more carefully.</p> <p>Both the Results and Discussion section must be written more carefully, too, utilizing reviewer's Note 5 and the proposed table template.</p> <p>Abstract and Conclusion(s) must be written more carefully.</p>	<p>1. The methodology has been changed, no longer uses the formula as it has been revised 2. the table has been fixed like the template that has been given 3. the abstract and conclusion have been updated</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>It seems that the authors in their text are trying to prove that they have understood how the chi-square test works. But this is not proper in such a text.</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	