

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_85787
Title of the Manuscript:	Fatty Acid Profile of Atlantic horse mackerel (Trachurus trachurus) oil obtained using different extraction methods
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<div>1. No need discussion statement in the abstract.</div> <div>2. A clarification of “cool place” (in the methods in the last sentences in part 2.5) is needed.</div> <div>3. All the formulas in the Material and Methods should be written using “equation tools”.</div> <div>4. All notes below tables should be written using smaller font, to distinguish the notes from the body text.</div> <div>5. The last sentence in the 4th paragraph of the Discussion part, need to be continued with another sentence explaining the indication.</div> <div>6. The last two paragraphs are not clear, either those are new paragraphs or they should be joined with the previous paragraph?.</div> <div>7. The word “Studies” in the sentence : Studies have shown that the omega-3 (ω-3) and....., needs to be clarified, what studies are referred to? The authors only cite one reference.</div> <div>8. The authors are suggested to add a recommendation based on the conclusion.</div>	<div>1. Discussion deleted from abstract</div> <div>2. Cool place signifies room temperature</div> <div>3. 3.Equation tools have been used for all formulas</div> <div>4. Done</div> <div>5. The indication of the last statement in the 4th paragraph have been included in the manuscript</div> <div>6. They are different paragraphs and have been separated in the manuscript</div> <div>7. The omitted author have been cited</div> <div>8. Recommendation included</div>
Optional/General comments		

Comment [NN1]: which studies? the author only provide one reference citation

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	