

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_84121
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Terminalia catappa leaf as an alternative to synthetic antibiotics in the diet of Oreochromis niloticus challenged with salmonella typhi
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript is very interesting and important for aquaculture. Minimal corrections are required. Abstract I didn't understand the levels of inclusion of terminalia catappa leaf in fish feed. Was it removing which ingredient? Material and Methods - What is the granulometry of the ingredients? - What percentage of water is added to the formulations? Were the same amounts? - What is the cooling temperature of fish feed? Results - Add in the footer of tables and figures the meaning of the abbreviations TCD1, TCD2...	Comment accepted and considered
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	