

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_84066
Title of the Manuscript:	AGE AND GROWTH ESTIMATIONS OF Decapterus kurroides USING OTOLITH IN THE WATERS OF EASTERN TAIWAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The current study dealt with an important aspect from the physiological point of view. The effort is commendable but there are some aspects that are not mentioned, and have been included in the comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- In regards to the "Justification is insufficient" comment, it has been revised by deleting the inference sentence.</li><li>- <a href="#">10.29822/JFST.197203.0002</a> for the reference number 2 and <a href="#">10.29822/JFST.197606.0005</a> for the reference number 14 These two links have been reworked. Kindly click these two links to verify the reference number 2 and 14.</li><li>- As for the comments of the two book references that the source should be verified, after reviewing all of the mentioned references, we can confirm that they are all legitimate references.</li><li>- Regarding the reference number 25, the reference number [24] in the text "One is 25.0 cm TL, and 0.80 from Davao Gulf, Philippines" has been changed to [25] on page 9 in the revised manuscript.</li></ul>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues in this manuscript.