

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJFAR_83641  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | THE EFFECT OF AQUEOUS CATTAPA LEAF EXTRACT ON THE TREATMENT OF GOURAMY JUVENILE ( <i>Osphronemus goramy</i> ) INFECTED WITH SAPROLEGNIA sp. |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. In the Abstract part. Increase of goramy leaves concentration was thought to .... So, which one is correct word, Goramy or Gouramy? Please ensure use the similar word from the beginning.</li><li>2. In the Abstract part. In treatment D (1500 ppm), T.cattapa had a slight .... In this part, make a short statement about all concentrations applied.</li><li>3. Part 2. Materials and Methods, Line 3. Revise "Fish were then then acclimatized ...."</li><li>4. Part 2, Line 8. Please check the grammar. Every morning siphoning was carried out ....</li><li>5. Part 2, Paragraph 2, Line 3. Check the grammar. The process of observation was made on....</li><li>6. Several grammar errors in Part 2, Paragraph 2. Please check carefully.</li><li>7. Part 2, The authors have written that the treatment was carried out for 7 days. Please elaborate why 7 days? Has the manuscript studied about the various days? So, we can make a conclusion about the specific day that the fungus grows in the fish body.</li><li>8. According to the Table 1, What is the meaning of the number 1, 2 and 3? What is 15, 15 and 62? The percentage is 31<sup>a</sup>, so, what is the meaning of a?</li><li>9. Harbone (1987) in Ariyani et al (2016) stated ....</li><li>10. Please check Figure 1, use English language.</li><li>11. There are several grammatical errors in Part 3.2, Paragraph 1.</li><li>12. Please check Figure 2, use English language.</li><li>13. In Figure 3, the authors had analyzed the <i>Saprolegnia</i> using microscope but in the Part 2. Materials and Methods, the authors did not mention about the application of microscope to study the <i>Saprolegnia</i>.</li><li>14. Page 4, the last paragraph. The authors wrote "Ketapng leaves contain ...." But, according to the manuscript title, is Cattapa leaf? Is there any difference between both of them?</li><li>15. In Page 5, Paragraph 1. The authors stated that the reducing of fungal growth can be seen on the 7<sup>th</sup> day. In my opinion, it is better to study until the fungal growth is totally destroyed by the addition of Cattapa leaf. So, this achievement can improve the manuscript.</li><li>16. According to the manuscript title, the authors stated about the effect of Cattapa leaf. Nevertheless, in the manuscript, the authors have not mentioned and studied the content of Cattapa leaf that has capability to reduce the fungal.</li></ol> | <p>All the suggested correction have been done</p> <p>Noted</p>   |

Review Form 1.6

|                           |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|--|
|                           | <div>Please elaborate about that.</div> <div>17. In Conclusion or Discussion parts, please put the strengths and limitations of this study.</div> <div>18. The manuscript does not mention about the ethical clearance but this study use animals. The application of the ethical clearance is imperative.</div> |  |
| Minor REVISION comments   |  |  |
| Optional/General comments |  |  |

PART 2:

|  |  |   |
|--|--|---|
|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <div>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</div> <div>Yes.</div> <div>The manuscript does not provide the ethical clearance.</div> |   |