

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_81638
Title of the Manuscript:	Insight into the Utilization of the Blue Sources of Funds in Fisheries Investment in Zanzibar
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This work introduces the blue sources of funds in Zanzibar. According to the findings of the work showed that funds are utilized on purchasing boats, equipment's and machines. It is interesting, but the structure and content need to be further developed in a more references to back up the statement and situations in Zanzibar. 2. The author talk about the fishery activities depend on several sources of funds that can be used to invest in Zanzibar fisheries sector. For international readers, however, the paper will be more attractive if more detail of flexible strategies, decision progressing of refurbishing of existing structures, planning progressing and development process etc. in other regions/or neighboring countries (e.g., budget utilization, purchasing ability, etc.) can be included. 3. I suggest the author can provide more discussion, comments or conclusion of this work. Such as the work can also discuss and compare the blue sources investment of Zanzibar or neighbor countries. For example, the price or budget for the purchase of ships and fishing equipment's, or how many percentage of GDP to invest the industry. Therefore, this paper may serve as a useful reference for elsewhere in the world. 4. I recommend that the manuscript could be reconsidered for publication after revised. 	Revised
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	