

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_88359
Title of the Manuscript:	The Kinship of Karo, Pakpak and Gayo Language
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The study can be more relevant if it is supported with theoretical background.</p> <p>As suggested above, I think a more in-depth discussion would be helpful. I feel this is a significant result for this research, and therefore it merits more discussion.</p> <p>The author may wish to mention why the research is important in the present scenario.</p>	Revised
Minor REVISION comments	The literature cited is relevant to the study, but several instances have been noted above, in which the author makes assertions without substantiating them with references.	Okay
Optional/General comments	Overall, the information presented represents valuable information regarding the Kinship of Karo, Pakpak and Gayo Language.	Done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	