

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_87403
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Jig Saw Strategy on Academic Performance and Attitude towards Mathematics in Remote Learning
Type of the Article	Research Manuscript

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	No paragraph in abstract. The abstract only talked about students attitude and ignored student performance. The results in the abstract should further be summarized in view lines. The author should include keywords. The research methodology should be summarized to reflect what is obtainable in article publication.	Revision made
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I think their should be a hyphen between Posttest. There should be consistency in citing authors in the work. There should be consistency in the paragraph method adopted for the research. There should be consistency with the spacing.	Comment accepted and considered
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is a well written research work. However, the style adopted by the researcher is simple used for thesis or dissertation. In other to publish this research as an article, the researcher should download the template of the journal and follow the instruction on the template to arrange the research for article publication. I will advice that the researcher should download some already published article from this journal for more practical guide on how to arrange the research for article publication.	Noted

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	