

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_86681
Title of the Manuscript:	Developing, Implementing and Evaluating Training for Graduate Teaching Assistants Based on ADDIE Model
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The research method and reporting of results are not fit with qualitative research. It might be case study or narrative study. Research problem and research question should be addressed clearly. Sample size of 3 and 1 is so small, however, their demographic data should be informed to the readers. The perception and experience of the trainees should be assessed and reported.	Thank you for the feedback. Authors have revised the research method as case study research design from qualitative research methodology. Due to authors affiliation identifying the sample will be extremely easy when the demographics will be discussed, therefore, we decided not to include demographics to protect the identities of the sample. Perception and experiences of the trainees is reported under "evaluation phase", specifically, under summative evaluation. Authors revised to specify the comment made by reviewer. The changes highlights in the section.
Minor REVISION comments	The title should be added the word "online" before graduate teaching assistants.....	Made the suggested changes
Optional/General comments	There are some grammatic typing errors, such as ...are analyse.....	The authors corrected the typos

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	