

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_86619
Title of the Manuscript:	Action Research on Impact of 21st Century Pedagogy in Teaching and Learning
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The topic is interesting, and it is good to have such a piece of research in Pedagogy in Teaching and Learning; however, major revision is needed. The following are some specific comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The abstract should include a brief description of the methodology.- The introduction should include more information e.g., the technical terms that were introduced in the abstract. It should also include the research questions. Some information in the findings should be part of the description of the methodology- Throughout the paper, please you need to polish your language (i.e., spelling, grammar, word/verb choice/agreement, punctuation), and in-text citation format.- Define each abbreviation the first time you use it in the text.- Follow one paper setup style (margins).- Use the correct quotation format (see APA format of citing quotes), and add page number/s each time you use verbatim quotes. Additionally, and most important is that few of the references are cited by the author/s.- The link between the topic and Literature Review is unclear.- Most of the sources are 15 years old. The two most recent sources are only one from 2018 and two others from 2014 (add more).- More current literature needs to be included if accepted for publication.- The Methodology section should include the hypothesis of your quantitative study.- The research sample is rather small (25) to use inferential statistics with any conclusive arguments. Meanwhile, the author/s is/are not clear about the intervention (treatment).- Encourages pedagogy training and justifies it on the small number of participants and the statistical analysis, but without being clear about the intervention used, duration, types, etc.- Wrong use of APA format of referencing. The writing is pretty good but would need thorough editing.- Contents and findings are not stated very clearly and should be revised.	<p>I have rectified a bit at my best capacity.</p> <p>I have included more information and added the problem statement as well.</p> <p>I did the language edition with a help of one language teacher</p> <p>Did at my best.. do help to rectify my errors and mistakes, please my apologies...</p> <p>Added few more</p> <p>Actually I did it for around 86 participants but since the result analysis was almost same I just based on one section, Now I have reflected all 86 of them.</p> <p>Did my best, but being novice in research, accept my sincere apology and I rely fully on your support and guidance to get my small piece published.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Follow the journal guidelines for manuscript writing.	
Optional/General comments	Do not be biased when concluding your research findings.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues as such, as it doesn't have any sensitive issues