

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_85804
Title of the Manuscript:	Foundry Craft Practice: Relevant and Innovative Skills for Technical College Students' Self-Employment in COVID-19 Pandemic era in Rivers State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title: kindly see the restructured title of the manuscript and discuss with your co-authors for modification (if any)</p> <p>Abstract: revisit your abstract and effect the corrections accordingly</p> <p>Introduction: the reviewer has issues with the referencing style adopt in this manuscript. The intervening variable introduced in the work should be discussed in the background of the study. This study has nothing to do with entrepreneurial skills, kindly expunge from the background, also statement of the problem should be incorporated in the background. Expunge hypothesis and work with only 6 structured purpose statement and research questions.</p> <p>Result: re-format and report the analysis on the table properly. Analysis in the study should not be reported like the researcher is interested in a comparative analysis study.</p>	<p>1 Title corrected. 2. abstract revised 3. APA 7th edition referencing style 4. variables discussed 5. Entrepreneurship skills are removed from the work 6. purpose and research question restructured 7. table reformatted and properly analysed</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Get the manuscript for the journal and format the entire work properly.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	I have updated the entire work as per reviewer recommendation