

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_85804
Title of the Manuscript:	Foundry Craft Practice: Relevant and Innovative Skills for Technical College Students' Self-Employment in COVID-19 Pandemic era in Rivers State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Purpose</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Good objectives but I think it too many for this paper, you may separate into two papers. just take one or two objectives and drill down into specific context. <p>Methodology</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Sampling was good with a large number of respondents but need to know how sampling was made- Instrument need further explanation especially number of items, constructs, and give some sample of items here.- Who were the expert to validate the instrument and how many sample involved in the pilot test- Table one indicated the item, I can see some items were not fulfilling the basic rule and regulation of items development such as item contain with words "and", which will make respondent confuse to give feedback.- Insert ethical consideration for this research <p>Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- This is the most important part that need to be revised, it was too short, no critical discussion, no comparison to model, solely depends on Park (2012) and Medina (2010) study as a point of discussion.- I did not see discussion based on 4 major purposes as listed in the introduction	<p>Purpose of the study was to investigate relevant foundry craft practice skills for technical college students' self-employment in covid-19 pandemic era in rivers state</p> <p>The population of 239 was used as respondents, which comprised of 180 technicians from registered metalwork industries and 59 teachers/instructors in six Government Technical Colleges in Rivers State. There was no sampling because the population was of manageable size.</p> <p>Foundry Skills for Self-employment Survey (FSSS) was the instrument used to gather data. The instrument had 39 response items in four 4 subsections having 10 items, 6 items, 13 items and 10 items respectively designed to elicit information on relevant skills in foundry craft practice. It structured on a 4–point rating scale of 4 - Very Relevant, 3 - Relevant, 2 - Moderate Relevant, and 1 - Not Relevant.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- update references list to the most recent 5 years back	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	I have updated the manuscript as reviewer recommendations