

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_85396
Title of the Manuscript:	The Academic Performance of Female Students in Integrated Science in Junior High Schools: Evidence from Aowin District, Western North Region of Ghana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">Abstract: the findings are in three major areas; Socio-economic Factors, Teacher-related Factors and Psychological Factors Affecting Performance of JHS Female Students in Science. Hence, the key findings as highlighted in the abstract should reflect the three areas and the actual figures stated.Purpose of the study/literature review: All the abbreviations should be written in full, eg JHS, STEM, SMT.Method: The total population of the study area was not stated hence is difficult to know if the 375 is adequate as established by Krejcie and Morgan. How the validity of the instrument was determined should be stated.Recommendation: it was recommended that the Guidance and counselling coordinators and the science departments at the various basic schools in the district should be resourced. However, there was no findings to the effect that they were under resourced.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">The findings as stated in the abstract reflect the three research questions.The abbreviations have been written in full in their first occurrencesThe total population has been stated. Again, the validity and reliability of the instrument was done through a pilot study and the co-efficient has been stated.We made this recommendation to help the female students to overcome their fears and weird perception about science as a core subject as this was a finding in the study.
Optional/General comments	The research paper has a comprehensive coverage of the planned topic and can proceed to be published after the corrections highlighted are made.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	