

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJESS_85338 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | STAKEHOLDERS' PERCEPTIONS OF EXAMINATION MALPRACTICE AT THE COLLEGE OF DISTANCE EDUCATION UNIVERSITY OF CAPE COAST, GHANA |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <p>The title is informative and reliable. The aim is clear. Re-write the references in proper manner and give each one a number. The abstract, is weak and not informative, please re-write it again and follow the general context (background, method, results and conclusion), also try to write short numbers such as 3,4 as a word. Introduction: it is clear what is already known about this topic, and the research question clearly outlined.</p> <p>Methods: the process of subject selection is clear, the variables were defined and measured appropriately, the study methods are valid and reliable and there was enough detail in order to replicate the study.</p> <p>Results: the data was presented in an appropriate way. Tables are relevant and clearly presented. Titles, columns, and rows labelled correctly and clearly. Separate the results from the discussion Use the same font shape through out the paper and do not use italics. Delete RCs interview because it adds nothing to the paper.</p> <p>Conclusion: the conclusions does not answer the aims of the study, so please write it again.</p> | Noted |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | The majority of participants are students, I think it is better if the author involves more Regional Coordinators (RCs), Examination Unit staff or even parents. | |

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

| | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |