

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_85303
Title of the Manuscript:	Pre-service High School Mathematics Teachers' Cognition of the Implementation of Mathematical Operation Literacy
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Paper needs an overall revision and proof reading, contains grammatical and spelling mistakesIn the abstract, it is advised to mention the region of the newly applied mathematic curriculum to give the viewer or reader an idea about which curriculum they are talking aboutIntroduction is vague needs to be strengthenedIn the literature review: Needs to change the way it is written and the use of references. Example: in 2.1 The Connotation of Mathematical Operation Literacy, the author started with zen then continues with Jie believes, Ma believes, Wu believes ..etc. Maybe if the point was listed and the references are mostly used as support it would look better.	Corrected Revised Done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Methodology: how did the Author avoid biasThe use of wording can be upgradedMany repetitive sentences	Done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The research is concerned with in class mathematics teaching methods yet Participants were all masters' students. No mention of their teaching capabilities or previous experience	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	