

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_85019
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study of Grade Six Students' Difficulties in Learning English Vocabulary in Wangduephodrang District Bhutan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The author has not addressed recent or current literature review to the research.</p> <p>The study can be more relevant if it is supported with theoretical background.</p> <p>As suggested above, I think a more in-depth discussion would be helpful. I feel this is a significant result for this research, and therefore it merits more discussion.</p>	<p>The abstract is changed according to the format. Discussed the research gap in introduction part</p> <p>Discussion on theoretical background in literature review</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>In several instances I also suggested to cite more relevant and recent literature.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Overall, the information presented represents valuable information regarding the difficulties in learning English vocabulary.</p> <p>Overall, this is a clear, concise, and well-written manuscript. The introduction is relevant. Sufficient information about the previous study findings is presented for readers to follow the present study rationale and procedures. The methods are generally appropriate. Overall, the results are clear.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No