

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_84788
Title of the Manuscript:	The Use of Information and Communication Technology to Teach: Assessment of Secondary School Students' Perceptions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The Introduction part is too long and must be cut down by almost 60%.Mention a heading: Statistical tools used. <p>Write the statistical tools that were deployed.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">We agreed mostly with the reviewer's comments and suggestions and have corrected accordingly.We however did not agree with the use of "didn't" as it is not used in formal writing. <p>Also, any verb following "did not" should be in its present form. We appreciate the reviewer for painstakingly reading through the work and for really teaching us how to report. Thank you very much</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Research questions could be titled Research Objectives and the questions could be phrased as 1. To understand the different ICT facilities that can be used to teach economics in secondary schools. 2. To know how often ICT facilitates are used by teachers in secondary schools. 3. To determine students perceptions when ICT is used to teach economics.	Done Done
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Method could be titled Research Methodology.It should be divided into two/three short paragraphs. It appears a bit too long.	Done Done

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>None</p>	There are no ethical issues in the manuscript