

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_84747
Title of the Manuscript:	Learners' Perceptions and Academic Achievement Using Thinking Maps in Learning Class Nine Chemistry
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

**The**  
(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1-The last three words in the title should be modified.</p> <p>Learners' Perceptions and Academic Achievement Using Thinking Maps in Learning Chemistry at Ninth Grade</p> <p>2-He has to modify writing references such as Sabateen. I (2021): The Effectiveness of Using.....</p>	<p>1. We are thankful for such an insightful recommendation. We agree with the reviewer as we have somehow left the title redundant. We are happy to inform that we have changed the title in the manuscript Ms_AJESS_84747 to "Learners' Perceptions and Academic Achievement Using Thinking Maps in Learning Chemistry at Ninth Grade"</p> <p>2. Thank you for the correction in regard to the referencing styles. The references indicated by the reviewer aligns with the APA referencing styles while the guidelines for authors of this Journal is different (as indicated in <a href="#">General Guideline for Authors (peerreviewcentral.com)</a>). Therefore, we would like to inform that we have as far as possible tried to follow the authors guidelines for this referencing.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		Thank you for giving us confidence
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		We appreciated the efforts and time spared by the reviewer to review our article.

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	