

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_84267
Title of the Manuscript:	Saving and Spending Attitudes of College Students
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I have gone through the manuscript and noted some critical areas where modifications should be done before being published in your reputed journal. The major corrections are mentioned below.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The author has not mentioned the research objectives or questions.2. It seems like the researcher has confusion between the research objectives and research problems. He /she embedded some areas that should be addressed in research questions than a research problem.3. The statement of the problem is too narrow. It fails to emphasize the gravity of the research.4. No literature review.5. In the result and discussion section, the author has used the hypothesis. However, he/she has failed to mention it in the methodology. e.g:- "Since the computed $f=10.01$ is greater than 1.83 the null hypothesis can be rejected".6. The researcher has used both inferential statistics and Anova. But in the study design, he/she has only mentioned the descriptive statistics.7. The flow of the result and discussion need to be adjusted with subheadings to grab the reader's attention.8. No references were given to the previous research in the findings.9. The author should avoid the excessive use of words. e.g:-in the conclusion section, he/she has repetitively used the word "This implies/implies".10. Several English language errors are observed across the paragraphs and should be corrected before publication.	<p>Corrected</p> <p>All the suggested correction have been done</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The work here proposed is an interesting topic. The title is suitable and attractive and the abstract is sufficient.</p>	<p>Noted</p>

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	