

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_83953
Title of the Manuscript:	Challenges of eLearning in rural Bhutan: A case study at Kengkhar Middle Secondary School during the COVID-19 pandemic
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>The objectives of the study should be stated earlier in the article.</p> <p>Provide a table with clearer overall statistics on the number of population of the school in terms of teachers, students, and parents as well as the number of respondents involved in the study.</p> <p>Review the headings used in the article. For instance, the last parts of Rationales and Discussion. The latter should be instead be named as Conclusion based on its length and content.</p> <p>Abbreviation of Kengkhar MSS in the article was done suddenly without the proper manner of stating it in brackets prior to its use.</p>	<p>The objectives are clearly stated in introduction. Thank you.</p> <p>The overall statistics of the number of population of the school (teachers and students) and parents involved in the study has been added. Thank you for the valuable comments.</p> <p>The headings used in the article has been reviewed accordingly. Thank you</p> <p>The issue has been fixed. Thank you.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The inclusion of online assignment as one of the instruments was good but a pity that follow up interview with the students to further identify possible causes was not included.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	There is no ethical issues. The data were gathered from the students and schools of the researchers.