

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_83555
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECTS OF LOW FINANCIAL CONDITION TO NEEDY STUDENTS OF PRIVATE AND PUBLIC COLLEGES OF MAFINGA TOWN COUNCIL, IRINGA TANZANIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	All these must be corrected and the manuscript must be reviewed f again or suitability	Reviewed
Minor REVISION comments	Provide definitions and clarity on what low financial condition means in the context of this study The entire manuscript must be edited for correct use of English , correct sentence construction , correct spelling etc The methodology-sampling techniques, population and data collection techniques must be needs to be revised and each method discussed separately There are inconsistencies in the sample size. The recommendations can be presented individually The discussions can be a bit more comprehensive and explanatory .	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Financial condition in the context of this study was defined2. The entire manuscript was edited3. This is a manuscript not a fully dissertation, to sum up the methodology in a paragraph provide a clear picture what a manuscript required to be4. The sample size of the study was reviewed and put into consistence manner5. The recommendation is given according to the findings of the study. We cannot give a recommendation per each individual/respondent of the study6. The discussion is always depend to the findings of the study, and therefore, researchers wrote it based on the study findings so as to avoid person interest, plagiarism and inaccuracy of the findings
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).</p>	I accept some of the comments and I already work out on them.