

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_76277
Title of the Manuscript:	PLAGIARISM IN HIGHER ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS, A BLIGHT ON INTELLECTUAL INTEGRITY: AN INTERVENTIONIST APPROACH
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Author needs to specify which conceptualization of plagiarism they have considered for the current research. 2. Methodology needs to be elaborated. How was stratified sampling used? Which databases were used? Etc. 3. Discussion is mixed with results so that needs to be revised. 4. Abstract should be revised after all these changes.	All comments accepted and properly corrected with highlights
Minor REVISION comments	1. Punctuality and spelling error should be eliminated. Proofreading is required. 2. Citations also need to be done according to APA. 3. Null hypothesis is not required, remove it. 4. thSe descriptive table needs revision. Cumulative score for agree/disagree should be given for clarity.	All comments accepted and properly corrected with highlights
Optional/General comments		All comments accepted and properly corrected with highlights

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>