

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_88509
Title of the Manuscript:	Risk perceptions of environmental and health problems associated with Artisanal Crude oil Refining in Bayelsa State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Use of subheading in the abstract section is highly discouraged and should be removed</li><li>-Paragraphy 2 of the abstracts needs to be checked for clarity purposes on the sample design and administration of the research tool</li><li>-In The results section part A was very elaborate and rich in content however the Part B and C is limited in the narrative. I suggest that you bring out the information on tables B and C and expound on them further in the results section.</li><li>-Also discuss the Part B and C elaborately in your discussion.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Subheadings removed from the abstract.</li><li>- Amended.</li><li>- Narratives of sections B and C have been beefed-up in the results section.</li><li>- Parts B and C discussed elaborately.</li></ul>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>-Check on typographical errors in the results and discussion section</li><li>-</li></ul>	Done
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The paper provides for good content for general readership and therefore can be accepted with minor revision.	Thank you very much.

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	