

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_87465
Title of the Manuscript:	Contamination and Potential Ecology Risk of Heavy Metals in the Sediment of Cau River, Vietnam
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Following are my suggestions- 1. Sentence formation & grammar of manuscript may be checked thoroughly. 2. Introduction part may include some references where similar study has been conducted in different location of world. 3. Following may be included in materials and method section a) Grain size of the sediment sample which is analysed for heavy metals. b) Explanation of extraction procedure. c) Sample preparation & analysis. c) Standard metal solution used in analysis. d) Full form of ICP-MS.	Thank you very much for your comments 1. We checked English writing in the revised manuscript. 2. We added the information about the heavy metals contamination in sediment cores in studies in the world. 3. We added the required information on the sample preparation, extraction procedure, and standard metal solution used in analysis in the revised manuscript. All the correction and were highlighted in the revised manuscript. In term of grain size of the sediment, in our study, we only discarded the stone, gravel and plant root from the sediment, we did not separate the grain size of the sediment. The information of ICP-MS were available in the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	May mention the geology of study area	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	