

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_85508
Title of the Manuscript:	Conflict of Land Use Types over Geomorphological Space of Anambra State, Southeastern Nigeria: The Analyses and Predictions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the result part water body have increased to some point with in those time periods at the same time vegetation have decreased largely, so in this case the increment of water body wouldn't be an acceptable for me, due to this you have to write a strong justification referring to similar studies. The other major thing is that you have to include the accuracy assessment results of land use land cover changes of the study area in your manuscript; otherwise the results wouldn't be scientifically proven and validated.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The data used here is satellite imagery which cannot be manipulated, but can only be trained/cleaned and analyzed. I am aware that water bodies in LULCC can increase as a result of either flooding or from the removal of vegetative covers that prevented the camera sensors from capturing the water bodies previously when they are covered with vegetations. This explanation was given in the work.2. The main idea behind this work is on conflict among various land uses. LUCC is used as a tool to showcase the trends, changes, and assign values needed for the predictions. The work is not on LULCC.
Minor REVISION comments	You should refer your works with recent citation, because most of the sentences lack citation. And it's better not to refer some author redundantly in so many places. And please correct some of your grammars.	Noted and have responded appropriately
Optional/General comments	Generally, it's vital and an interesting research title with well analysed results and well written sentences except those mentioned corrections.	Thank you very much

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	