

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_83480
Title of the Manuscript:	Legal Protection of Traditional Knowledge for Environmental Sustainability – A study in India vis-à-vis International context
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Very interesting and relevant subject, but the references presentation, in the text and in the references, is not correct. It needs to be presented in the correct way.</p> <p>Which ideas are from the author and which are from the references? It is not clear</p> <p>I think that when we write an article we should consider the readers, and not what is clear to us, the writers. A text with abbreviations needs to present first the words that are presented as an abbreviation, and after that the abbreviation letters (SEE IN THE ARTICLE FILE)</p> <p>References: In my point of view, they are incomplete, there is a lack of data, according to the norms of the journal, and there is also lack of references in the text.</p> <p>References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].</p> <p>Reference to Web-resource or electronic articles. Accessed day month year.</p> <p>Available: http://www.xxxxxxxxxxxxxx.</p>	<p>The ideas are of the author and the legal framework has been interpreted where required. Therefore the ambiguity</p> <p>Shall expand the abbreviations</p> <p>The references in the text are mentioned in the foot notes and the books and articles referred to for interpretation have been listed in references. Ok, noted and implemented</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	