

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE _81359
Title of the Manuscript:	Trend Analysis of vehicular Traffic Contribution to Air Pollution in Urban City
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljsrr.com/index.php/JSRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract it should be reported where the measurements have been done and also in title.</p> <p>In the introductory part several it should be mentioned that the lack or the limitations of studies observed by authors is referring only to Nigeria because traffic is well studied in a lot of other Countries.</p> <p>“...using purposive method,...” better “...using a method based...” purposive is not clear.</p> <p>It would also be better change “opined” with “reported” or similar.</p> <p>Please use the standard units of $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for particle concentration in all text, tables, and figures.</p> <p>Table 1. ms^{-1} rather than in capital letters.</p> <p>SO_2 is generally not related to traffic emissions in several countries being more likely of industrial origin or due to shipping emissions. It should be explained why in Nigeria you have such stronger influence of traffic, it is because of the use of low quality fuels in comparison to Europe or USA?</p> <p>In several parts it is compared one year to another or one season to another and differences are commented. It should be explained if the differences found have been subjected to a statistical test to verify it they are statistically significant or not and explain which test was used.</p> <p>Figures have boxes with bars and some points outside in many cases. Please explain what the boxes, the bars and the horizontal lines mean and what is the meaning to have the points outside the boxes only in some cases.</p>	<p>The observations showed that the entire manuscript was properly read by an expert in the field. The corrections have been made. Meanwhile, regarding comparing yearly, seasonal, weekly and daily variation, it was analysed statistically which the significant level was clearly stated using $P < 0.05$ or $P > 0.05$. The statistics used is one way analysis of variance (One way ANOVA), which was clearly stated under materials and methods (statistical analysis)</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>“WHO stipulated...” better “WHO set a threshold at....”</p> <p>There is a NO2 instead of NO_2</p>	<p>The correction has been effect.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	<p>There was no ethical issues in the manuscript</p>