

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_88190
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPLEMENTATION OF AN E-PROCUREMENT POLICY IN VOLTA RIVER AUTHORITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebea.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. There is a single overarching statement capturing the statement of the problem. What is the problem?</li><li>2. The objective 1 and 2 should be merge into one objective.</li><li>3. The literature review section should cover: conceptual review, theoretical review, empirical review and conceptual framework. With that said not a single review was dedicated to e-procurement implementation or policy, why?</li><li>4. The methodology lacks basic inputs like sample size, tools used to analyse the questionnaires, etc. How was the primary data obtained? I can see is through questionnaires. The first step is to find the reliability and validity of the questionnaires which is missing in the work.</li><li>5. So far as the reliability and validity of the questionnaire is missing, its assumed that the results lack the credibility. Besides, the results presented do not match the set objectives.</li><li>6. In sum the whole manuscript is not well structured, and does not answer the objectives set.</li></ol>	Comment accepted and considered
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The topic should be changed to "Investigating the Training and Challenges Faced with Implementing E-Procurement Policy: A Lesson From VRA."</li><li>2. The keywords should be modified to "e-electronics,</li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	