



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT’S on revised paper (if any)	Authors’ response to editor’s comments
<p>Analyzing the reviews made for this paper, we found that the authors did not make the changes requested by the reviewers.</p>	<p>Let me start with the abstract: The abstract is only 217 words. I wonder if this is beyond what’s recommended by this journal. I was taught that the tenses of abstract should be present continuous, and that’s what I used in the abstract.</p> <p>We have re-structured and upgraded the literature into Conceptual, Theoretical and Empirical Literatures, plus Gap in Literature as recommended by the Reviewers.</p> <p>We think that adequate explanations were given on the theories and mathematical contents in the study.</p> <p>We believe that the research problem and hypotheses were clearly stated.</p> <p>We feel that our Conclusion and Recommendations were concisely stated in relation to the research questions and hypotheses.</p> <p>If there are still parts of the paper the Reviewers are still finding inappropriate, we demand they highlight them, right inside the paper, to enable us see it easily.</p>