

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_87255
Title of the Manuscript:	Insurance Sector Development and Economic Growth: Empirical Analysis from Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Paper is good, covers all steps of proposed methodology and defined properly. Abstract is the summary of the research work. The main parameters of the abstract are: what, how, and why. What: It includes definition of the research work means what is going on in research work. How: It covers methodology part means define a technique/ methodology that help to execute your research work. Why: It focus application of the research work means where it can use. Abstract should not be more than 250 to 450 word. Overall, your abstract may be more meaningful if you write your abstract as per above parameters.	Noted Revision amended
Minor REVISION comments	Experimented results may be included in tabular form	
Optional/General comments	Methodology is a process or set of rules to be followed in calculations or other problem-solving operations. It should be written in proper way with comments that help to understand. Overall, it may include step by step in this paper. A conclusion is the last part of something, its end or result. When you write a paper, you always end by summing up your arguments and drawing a conclusion about what you've been writing about. Overall, your paper may conclude better way.	Noted and effected

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	