

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_85797
Title of the Manuscript:	Managerial Performance in Indonesia: A Bibliography Study
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1. The title and content of the article do not seem to correspond completely. The content is entirely research literature, so the title of the article seems to be changed to "academic research status of management performance in Indonesia: Based on 20002-2019 literature". If the research content is the management performance of Indonesia, we can summarize different research directions, different theoretical models and different variable settings based on the literature. Obviously, the former is to study the literature, and the latter is to study management performance.</p> <p>2. What are the problems with the research methods, but the number of research objects is too small to lose the research significance. It is suggested that a wider range of papers should be included in the research scope, and it is possible to show regularity based on at least hundreds.</p> <p>3. Such literature analysis is of little significance in fact. It does not reflect the research preface and field of performance management in Indonesia, nor the latest research theory. It is suggested to increase the analysis and research in this regard, so as to highlight the practical role of literature analysis.</p>	<p>1. The title and content of the article fully provide an overview of managerial performance in Indonesia over the last 20 years. This is to evaluate and further analyze several variables, topics or research methods on managerial performance that are still rarely done in Indonesia. Then it is used to improve managerial performance from time to time in Indonesia so that the main goals of an organization can be realized easily with few obstacles.</p> <p>2. Due to the limited access to online article data, the number of journals used as objects in this study were 18 accredited journals that produced 34 articles on managerial performance in Indonesia. Researchers selected all articles published in each of these journals in a structured and systematic way and only selected articles related to managerial performance in Indonesia.</p> <p>3. This literature analysis is expected to help readers improve managerial performance from time to time in Indonesia so that the main goals of an organization can be realized.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. Although literature review papers may cite more articles from a long time ago, more references in recent three years should be added to the references.</p> <p>2. If the author can use SPSS software, he can carry out more advanced statistical analysis, and the effect may be better.</p>	<p>1. References in the last three years have been added to deepen the results of research on managerial performance in Indonesia</p> <p>2. The author has not used SPSS software because the research is still in the form of a literature review</p>
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	