

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_85767
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimation of Import Demand Elasticities, In the BPCG Model and the Import Demand Function (Case study of Iran)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The Abstract section has to cover the main objective and the methods used in the paper. Moreover, it has to point out the main outcome (result) of the research.</p> <p>The statistical analysed period stops in 2018. It is very difficult to obtain pertinent conclusions in 2022 using data from 2018, because the analysis didn't cover the Covid pandemic period, which had a significant impact on international trade.</p> <p>The first equation has to be re-written more carefully.</p> <p>The use of π : income elasticity of import demand can lead to confusions. In another approach, π is 3.14. As a result, the symbol has to be changed with another.</p> <p>Conclusion section is too short and to generally. It has to be modified.</p>	<p>-The items mentioned in the abstract section have been observed and corrected.</p> <p>- At the time of review, the officially published statistics are available until 2018, while the purpose of the study was to compare the elasticity of import demand based on two approaches and did not seek to examine the effect of the Covid on trade.</p> <p>- The symbol used to income elasticity, was changed.</p> <p>- The conclusion section was expanded.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	