

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_85419
Title of the Manuscript:	The impact of financial depth and banking indicators on economic growth in the Gulf Countries: An Analytical Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Mention period of study in the abstract Chapter literature review underperformed: try to provide one or two theories that support your work. Then move those empirical studies to literature review Carry out panel diagnostic test like heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The point is well taken and the period of study is mentioned in the Abstract and in the text. The endogenous growth theory and extended endogenous growth model for the financial sector is mentioned in the introduction. Please see the third paragraph of the introduction section.2. For heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation we have applied IM and Breusch–Godfrey test respectively. The p-value of both tests is greater than 5 percent and we failed to reject the null hypothesis. Which indicate that there is no heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation problem
Minor REVISION comments	Provide basic statistics of your study variables in form of a table or graph in the introduction part. In short try to show how they have performed or trend	The basic statistics in the form of descriptive statistics in form of table is already mentioned in the results and discussion section. The mean, minimum, maximum, and S.D are reported in the table.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	Ethical Statement: No human trial and sensitive information is used in the manuscript and ethical approval was not required.