

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_85214
Title of the Manuscript:	OIL-PRICE SHOCKS AND ECONOMIC GROWTH: A REVIEW OF LITERATURE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>1. The conclusion stated is too general and does not focus on the present academic interests. The author's own conclusion about the subject must be presented.</b> <b>2. The theories reviewed are well summarized but the comparative view is missing. Each theory's advantage and disadvantage must be made clear.</b>	1. We reframed the conclusion as suggested by the reviewer to bring in the salient issue in the study. However, it should be noted that this is a review with no specific focus on one particular economy (country). The conclusion was based on all the reviewed literature and the trend analysis conducted.  2. Slight modification was made by bring in the benefits and disadvantages of each of the theory especially the theory which the study was based "Dutch disease theory". However, the theories explained in this study are independent of one another. In this regards, one theory does not emanated from the shortcomings of another theory.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		The plagiarism test conducted showed that the similarity index was 84% of which 83% came from Ekiti State University. This is my original work (my Ph.D) thesis. As criteria, was submitted to the school for plagiarism checking. The school has not removed it from their web.

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	