

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_85039
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Coupling Relationship between Marine Resources and Environmental Carrying Capacity and Marine Economy in Jiangsu Province
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	At first did not feel comfortable with reading since it is not a subject of my studies – marine economy, coupling environment and economy. But I found the discussion very relevant and tried to understand the indicators used. Hopefully the economic data, very updated, in the Chinese statistics have given chance for the real results and comparisons among locations	For the yearbooks of the China Bureau of Statistics and the China Oceanographic Administration, the latest data is in 2020, and 2021 has just passed. The data is not complete, and an accurate and comprehensive analysis cannot be carried out; so I updated the research range to the most comprehensive year 2020.
Minor REVISION comments	Pay attention to (,) with spaces before; In general, good and clear English; Not clear the meaning of K in the entropy formula	I have revised some paragraphs with incorrect grammar or doubts; and explained the meaning of K under the entropy method; thanks for the valuable comments.
Optional/General comments	I found interesting peace of work.	Thank you for your comments and your appreciation for this article. I will make persistent efforts to improve and continue to work hard.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	NO